


“SECOND GENERATION” OPERATING MANUALS

As already noted, the initial driving force for writing procedures for many companies in the process industries, particularly in the United States, was the need to comply with process safety regulations. For many facilities, the timeline for their manuals was on the lines of what is shown in Figure 1-1.

Figure 1-1
Operating Procedures Timeline



Prior to the 1990s, procedures were virtually always printed on paper (and usually distributed in a three-ring binder). The quality and integrity of such procedures was patchy, at best, and the operators rarely used them. In the 1990s, PSM regulations forced a major upgrade to the content of operating manuals, such that by the end of the decade there had been a dramatic improvement in the quality of the procedures. Also, companies had generally written their procedures using a word processor, and made those procedures available to the operators through a local area network (LAN).

Most companies are now in good shape with regard to this requirement. Generally, they “survive audits” without any major problems. However, the fact that an operating manual meets the requirements of OSHA or some other agency does not necessarily mean that that manual actually helps the operators do their work, nor does compliance mean that the process of writing and updating it is as efficient as possible. Hence

6 Operating Procedures for Process Plants

because they will need to address situations that they have not seen before.

Increasingly the senior operators will function as human supervisors over computer control systems such as DCS (Distributed Control Systems) or SCADA (Supervisory Control And Data Acquisition System). These operators need procedures that help them work with the electronic interface, generally as troubleshooters for when operations go awry.

Less Experienced Operators

Operators who are new to the facility need basic training in the form of detailed, step-by-step procedures. Although such procedures will be part of the operating manual, they are really within the province of the training department.

Management / Engineering

The technical staff use the procedures as a framework within which they can conduct plant tests and assist with troubleshooting. Management may also use the procedures when planning large projects such as a turnaround or revamp. In such situations, it is likely that many temporary procedures will be needed.

Translators

Many manuals are written by an engineering company for use by a client in a different country. This means that the first “user” or “customer” of the manual will be a translator. Should this be the case, it particularly important to avoid very technical terms, jargon, ambiguity and convoluted sentence structures. All material must be explicit; there is no room for “reader interpretation”, inference or reading between the lines.

8 Operating Procedures for Process Plants

A web-based approach is particularly valuable in three regards:

- Organizing different levels of detail for the task instructions.

- The sequence of the procedures, and

- Structuring troubleshooting guidance

Additional benefits from the use of web-based procedures include:

- The organization of links to safe limit values,

- Interactivity with the users of the procedures,

- Usability and appeal,

- Links to other elements of process safety management, and

- Ease of updating

JUSTIFICATION FOR AN OPERATING MANUAL

Although most managers recognize that the writing a high quality manual is inherently worthwhile, they also recognize that the development of procedures is expensive, and will tie up the time of many key people. It is therefore important to develop a justification for a procedures-writing project, and to clearly define the project's objectives, *i.e.*, to develop a definition of measurable success.

It is suggested that the following reasons (in addition to just meeting regulatory requirements) will usually explain why procedures are being written or upgraded.